UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,601	08/24/2006	Sabine Balthasar	RO4304US (#90568)	8967	
	28672 7590 06/26/2009 D. PETER HOCHBERG CO. L.P.A.			EXAMINER	
1940 EAST 6T			WHEELER, THURMAN MICHAEL		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			4131		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/26/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/590,601	BALTHASAR ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Thurman Wheeler	4131		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all all all all all all all all all al	cepted or b) objected to by the land drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the land drawing(s) is objected to be land drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I. Claims 1-5, 15 and 16, drawn to a <u>carrier system</u> for the cell-specific, intracellular enrichment of at least one pharmacologically active substance, wherein said carrier system is present in the form of protein-based nanoparticles.

Group II. Claim 6, drawn to a <u>use</u> of a carrier system for the cell-specific, intracellular enrichment of at least one pharmacologically active substance, wherein said carrier system is present in the form of <u>protein-based nanoparticles</u> producing a medicament for enrichment of a pharmaceutically active substance to/in specific cells.

Art Unit: 4131

Group III. Claims 7-14, 17 and 18, drawn to a <u>method</u> for producing a carrier system in the form of <u>protein-based</u>

<u>nanoparticles</u> for the cell-specific enrichment of at least one pharmacologically active substance.

As set forth in Rule 13.1 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), "the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept." Moreover, as stated in PCT Rule 13.2, "where a group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement of unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features." Furthermore, Rule 13.2 defines "special technical features" as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art."

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/590,601 Art Unit: 4131

The special technical feature of Groups I, II, and III is the protein-based nanoparticles. The protein-based nanoparticles of claims 1-18 do not present a contribution over the prior art. As disclosed by Artemov et al "MR molecular imaging of the HER-2/neu receptor in breast cancer cells using targeted iron oxide nanoparticles" (Magnetic Resonance In Medicine, Academic Press, Duluth, MN, US, Vol. 49, No. 3, March (2003-03), pages 403-408, XP002348193 ISSN: 0740-3194) the protein-based nanoparticles of instant claims 1-18 do not involve an inventive step. Artemov teaches the use of supraparamagnetic nanoparticles that are bound to a biotinylated anti-HER2 antibody following thiolation by neutravidin. Thus, the nanoparticles in the Artemov reference target HER2-positive as does the carrier system in the form of nanoparticles in instant application. As such, Group I, II, and III do not share a special technical feature. The claims are not so linked within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept, and unity between Groups I, II, and III is broken.

The examiner has required restriction between product, process, and use claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product and use claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process and use claims that depend

Art Unit: 4131

from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process or use invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process or use invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process and use claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process and use claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims, process and use claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process or use claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process and use claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note

that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thurman Wheeler whose telephone number is (571)270-1307. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Nolan can be reached on (571)272-0847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,601

Art Unit: 4131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 7

/Thurman Wheeler/

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 4131

/Patrick J. Nolan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4131